

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,148	12/14/2001	Ralph E. Jennings JR.	SNS-009B 9301 EXAMINER		
21323	7590 01/13/2005				
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP HIGH STREET TOWER			NGUYEN, KIMBINH T		
125 HIGH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON, MA 02110			2671		
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/017,148	JENNINGS ET AL.				
Advisory Addien	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimbinh T. Nguyen	2671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period cee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,14-39 and 41-58</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	Combon e					
	ע מיבועורע וו	A) (//  1 a.				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Independent claims have been added the limitations: "simulating a deformation of the virtual object while preserving surface detail", .

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments are not persuasive because applicants are relying on limitations in a proposed amendment that has not been entered.